Highly connected Ramsey theory

Chris Lambie-Hanson

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Virginia Commonwealth University

> RIMS Workshop 2020 Set Theory: Reals and Topology 17 November 2020

I. Highly connected partition relations



▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ 三 ト ▲ 三 ト の へ ()

Classical partition relations

Recall the Hungarian notation for partition relations: If λ , μ , and ν are cardinals and k is a natural number, then

$$u
ightarrow (\mu)^k_\lambda$$

is the assertion that, for every function $c : [\nu]^k \to \lambda$, there is $H \subseteq \nu$ of size μ such that $c \upharpoonright [H]^k$ is constant.

Classical partition relations

Recall the Hungarian notation for partition relations: If λ , μ , and ν are cardinals and k is a natural number, then

$$u
ightarrow (\mu)^k_\lambda$$

is the assertion that, for every function $c : [\nu]^k \to \lambda$, there is $H \subseteq \nu$ of size μ such that $c \upharpoonright [H]^k$ is constant.

• The infinite Ramsey theorem states that, for all $k, m < \omega$,

$$\aleph_0
ightarrow (\aleph_0)_m^k$$

A D > A 回 > A E > A E > E のQ (A)

Classical partition relations

Recall the Hungarian notation for partition relations: If λ , μ , and ν are cardinals and k is a natural number, then

$$u
ightarrow (\mu)^k_\lambda$$

is the assertion that, for every function $c : [\nu]^k \to \lambda$, there is $H \subseteq \nu$ of size μ such that $c \upharpoonright [H]^k$ is constant.

• The infinite Ramsey theorem states that, for all $k, m < \omega$,

$$\aleph_0
ightarrow (\aleph_0)_m^k$$
.

• An uncountable cardinal κ is weakly compact if and only if

$$\kappa
ightarrow (\kappa)_2^2$$
.

Counterexamples at c

There are two simple, very strong counterexamples to natural generalizations of Ramsey's theorem to \mathfrak{c} .

Counterexamples at $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{c}}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

There are two simple, very strong counterexamples to natural generalizations of Ramsey's theorem to \mathfrak{c} .

Define Δ : [^ω2]² → ω by letting Δ(f, g) be the least j such that f(j) ≠ g(j). Then Δ witnesses

 $\mathfrak{c} \not\to (3)^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Counterexamples at c

There are two simple, very strong counterexamples to natural generalizations of Ramsey's theorem to \mathfrak{c} .

Define Δ : [^ω2]² → ω by letting Δ(f, g) be the least j such that f(j) ≠ g(j). Then Δ witnesses

$$\mathfrak{c} \not\to (3)^2_{\aleph_0}$$

Define d : [ℝ]² → 2 as follows. Fix a well-ordering ≺ on ℝ and let d(x, y) = 0 if ≺ agrees with the usual ordering of ℝ on the order of x and y, and d(x, y) = 1 otherwise. Then d witnesses

$$\mathfrak{c} \not\to (\aleph_1)_2^2$$

The relation $\nu \to (\mu)_{\lambda}^2$ can be phrased in graph-theoretic language: Whenever the edges of the complete graph on ν -many vertices are colored with λ -many colors, we can find a complete monochromatic subgraph of size μ .

The relation $\nu \rightarrow (\mu)_{\lambda}^2$ can be phrased in graph-theoretic language: Whenever the edges of the complete graph on ν -many vertices are colored with λ -many colors, we can find a complete monochromatic subgraph of size μ . In search of nontrivial partition relations that can hold at small uncountable cardinals, one might try to slightly weaken the requirement that the monochromatic subgraph we obtain is complete.

The relation $\nu \to (\mu)_{\lambda}^2$ can be phrased in graph-theoretic language: Whenever the edges of the complete graph on ν -many vertices are colored with λ -many colors, we can find a complete monochromatic subgraph of size μ . In search of nontrivial partition relations that can hold at small uncountable cardinals, one might try to slightly weaken the requirement that the monochromatic subgraph we obtain is complete. One natural way to approach this is via considerations of *connectedness*.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a graph.

1 \mathcal{G} is connected if, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$, there are $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $u_0 = u, u_n = v$, and, for all $i < n, \{u_i, u_{i+1}\} \in \mathcal{E}$.

The relation $\nu \to (\mu)_{\lambda}^2$ can be phrased in graph-theoretic language: Whenever the edges of the complete graph on ν -many vertices are colored with λ -many colors, we can find a complete monochromatic subgraph of size μ . In search of nontrivial partition relations that can hold at small uncountable cardinals, one might try to slightly weaken the requirement that the monochromatic subgraph we obtain is complete. One natural way to approach this is via considerations of *connectedness*.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a graph.

- 1 \mathcal{G} is connected if, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$, there are $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $u_0 = u$, $u_n = v$, and, for all i < n, $\{u_i, u_{i+1}\} \in \mathcal{E}$.
- 2 G is κ -connected if it is connected and remains connected after removing any fewer than κ -many vertices.

Highly connectedness

Definition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

1 A graph \mathcal{G} is *highly connected* if it is $|\mathcal{G}|$ -connected.

Highly connectedness

Definition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

- **1** A graph \mathcal{G} is *highly connected* if it is $|\mathcal{G}|$ -connected.
- 2 The partition relation

$$u
ightarrow_{hc} (\mu)_\lambda^2$$

is the assertion that, for every $c : [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$, there are $H \subseteq \nu$ of size μ and a highly connected graph (H, E) such that $c \upharpoonright E$ is constant.

Highly connectedness

Definition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

- **1** A graph \mathcal{G} is *highly connected* if it is $|\mathcal{G}|$ -connected.
- 2 The partition relation

$$u
ightarrow_{hc} (\mu)_\lambda^2$$

is the assertion that, for every $c : [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$, there are $H \subseteq \nu$ of size μ and a highly connected graph (H, E) such that $c \upharpoonright E$ is constant.

Note: A finite graph is highly connected if and only if it is complete, so the relation

$$u
ightarrow_{hc} (\mu)_{\lambda}^2$$

can be seen as a genuine generalization of the classical finite Ramsey partition relations.

Proposition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If ν is an infinite cardinal and k is a natural number, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)_k^2$.

Proof: Fix c: [v]²-7k and a mittor attratiten U on v. For dev, we can a set X de U and is ck sit. for all BEXA, BTX and c(a, B)=ix. We can now find a set XEU and a ick s.t. for all aGX, i, zi. Claim (X, EXJ² ~ c-1 fis) is highly connected_ Pf For all aff in XI, for all SGX nXx nXB the we have in the D

Proposition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If ν is an infinite cardinal and k is a natural number, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)_k^2$.

Proof:

A similar proof yields the following:

Proposition

If κ is strongly compact, $\lambda < \kappa$, and $cf(\nu) \ge \kappa$, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)^2_{\lambda}$.

A D > 4 回 > 4 □ > 4

Proposition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If ν is an infinite cardinal and k is a natural number, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)_k^2$.

Proof:

A similar proof yields the following:

Proposition

If κ is strongly compact, $\lambda < \kappa$, and $cf(\nu) \ge \kappa$, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)^2_{\lambda}$.

Some immediate negative results in ZFC: for all infinite λ ,

A D > A 回 > A E > A E > E のQ (A)

Proposition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If ν is an infinite cardinal and k is a natural number, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)_k^2$.

Proof:

A similar proof yields the following:

Proposition

If κ is strongly compact, $\lambda < \kappa$, and $cf(\nu) \ge \kappa$, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)^2_{\lambda}$.

Some immediate negative results in ZFC: for all infinite λ ,

• for all $\mu \geq 3$, $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^2_{\lambda}$;

Proposition (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If ν is an infinite cardinal and k is a natural number, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)_k^2$.

Proof:

A similar proof yields the following:

Proposition

If κ is strongly compact, $\lambda < \kappa$, and $cf(\nu) \ge \kappa$, then $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\nu)^2_{\lambda}$.

Some immediate negative results in ZFC: for all infinite λ ,

- for all $\mu \geq 3$, $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^2_{\lambda}$;
- $2^{\lambda} \not\rightarrow_{hc} (2^{\lambda})^2_{\lambda}$.

Consistent positive results

Theorem (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If the existence of a weakly compact cardinal is consistent, then it is consistent that

 $2^{\omega_1} \rightarrow_{hc} (2^{\omega_1})^2_{\omega}.$

Consistent positive results

Theorem (Bergfalk-Hrušák-Shelah '20)

If the existence of a weakly compact cardinal is consistent, then it is consistent that

 $2^{\omega_1} \rightarrow_{hc} (2^{\omega_1})^2_{\omega}.$

Theorem (Hrušák-Shelah '2X)

If the existence of a measurable cardinal is consistent, then it is consistent that

 $\omega_2 \rightarrow_{hc} (\omega_2)^2_{\omega}.$

A D > A 回 > A E > A E > E のQ (A)

Motivating questions

 What effect do other well-known compactness/incompactness principles (e.g. PFA, square principles) have on highly connected partition relations?

Motivating questions

 What effect do other well-known compactness/incompactness principles (e.g. PFA, square principles) have on highly connected partition relations?

2 Are any nontrivial positive consistency results possible at the level of c?

Counterexamples from squares



Square bracket relations

To state our results, we need a variation on our highly connected partition relations.

Square bracket relations

To state our results, we need a variation on our highly connected partition relations.

Definition

The partition $\nu \to_{hc} [\mu]^2_{\lambda,\kappa}$ (resp. $\nu \to [\mu]^2_{\lambda,<\kappa}$) is the assertion that, for every coloring $c : [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$, there is $H \subseteq \nu$ of size μ and a highly connected graph (H, E) such that $|c^{"}E| \leq \kappa$ (resp. $|c^{"}E| < \kappa$).

Definition (Todorcevic)

Suppose that ν is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then $\Box(\nu)$ is the assertion that there is a sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle$ such that, for all limit ordinals $\beta < \nu$:

Definition (Todorcevic)

Suppose that ν is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then $\Box(\nu)$ is the assertion that there is a sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle$ such that, for all limit ordinals $\beta < \nu$:

1 C_{β} is a club in β ;

Definition (Todorcevic)

Suppose that ν is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then $\Box(\nu)$ is the assertion that there is a sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle$ such that, for all limit ordinals $\beta < \nu$:

- 1 C_{β} is a club in β ;
- 2 (Coherence) for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Lim}(C_{\beta})$, we have $C_{\alpha} = C_{\beta} \cap \alpha$;

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Definition (Todorcevic)

Suppose that ν is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then $\Box(\nu)$ is the assertion that there is a sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle$ such that, for all limit ordinals $\beta < \nu$:

- 1 C_{β} is a club in β ;
- 2 (Coherence) for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Lim}(C_{\beta})$, we have $C_{\alpha} = C_{\beta} \cap \alpha$;
- 3 (Nontriviality) there is no club D in ν such that, for all $\alpha \in \text{Lim}(D)$, we have $C_{\alpha} = D \cap \alpha$.

Definition (Todorcevic)

Suppose that ν is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then $\Box(\nu)$ is the assertion that there is a sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle$ such that, for all limit ordinals $\beta < \nu$:

- 1 C_{β} is a club in β ;
- 2 (Coherence) for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Lim}(C_{\beta})$, we have $C_{\alpha} = C_{\beta} \cap \alpha$;
- 3 (Nontriviality) there is no club D in ν such that, for all $\alpha \in \text{Lim}(D)$, we have $C_{\alpha} = D \cap \alpha$.

 $\Box(\nu)$ -sequences are canonical examples of set theoretic incompactness.

Definition (Todorcevic)

Suppose that ν is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then $\Box(\nu)$ is the assertion that there is a sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle$ such that, for all limit ordinals $\beta < \nu$:

- 1 C_{β} is a club in β ;
- 2 (Coherence) for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Lim}(C_{\beta})$, we have $C_{\alpha} = C_{\beta} \cap \alpha$;
- 3 (Nontriviality) there is no club D in ν such that, for all $\alpha \in \text{Lim}(D)$, we have $C_{\alpha} = D \cap \alpha$.

 $\Box(\nu)$ -sequences are canonical examples of set theoretic incompactness.

Theorem (Jensen)

If ν is a regular uncountable cardinal and $\Box(\nu)$ fails, then ν is weakly compact in L.

Negative results from square

▲□▶▲□▶▲三▶▲三▶ 三 りのぐ

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that $\lambda < \nu$ are infinite regular cardinals and $\Box(\nu)$ holds. Then

 $\nu \not\rightarrow_{hc} [\nu]^2_{\lambda,<\lambda}.$

Negative results from square

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that $\lambda < \nu$ are infinite regular cardinals and $\Box(\nu)$ holds. Then

 $\nu \not\rightarrow_{hc} [\nu]^2_{\lambda,<\lambda}.$

(We in fact get the failure of the weaker principle $\nu \to_{wc} [\nu]^2_{\lambda,<\lambda}$.)

Negative results from square

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that $\lambda < \nu$ are infinite regular cardinals and $\Box(\nu)$ holds. Then

 $\nu \not\rightarrow_{hc} [\nu]^2_{\lambda,<\lambda}.$

(We in fact get the failure of the weaker principle $\nu \rightarrow_{wc} [\nu]^2_{\lambda,<\lambda}$.)

Theorem (LH '20)

If μ is a singular cardinal and \Box_{μ} holds, then

 $\mu^+ \not\rightarrow_{hc} [\mu]^2_{\mathrm{cf}(\mu), < \mathrm{cf}(\mu)}.$

The proofs of these results can be factored through the notion of *subadditive unbounded functions*. For example:

The proofs of these results can be factored through the notion of *subadditive unbounded functions*. For example: **Step 1:** Prove that $\Box(\nu)$ entails the existence of a function $c : [\nu]^2 \rightarrow \lambda$ such that

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The proofs of these results can be factored through the notion of *subadditive unbounded functions*. For example:

Step 1: Prove that $\Box(\nu)$ entails the existence of a function

 $c: [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$ such that

- (Subadditive) for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \nu$, we have
 - $c(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \max\{c(\alpha, \beta), c(\beta, \gamma)\};$ and
 - $c(\alpha,\beta) \leq \max\{c(\alpha,\gamma), c(\beta,\gamma)\}.$

The proofs of these results can be factored through the notion of *subadditive unbounded functions*. For example:

Step 1: Prove that $\Box(\nu)$ entails the existence of a function

 $c: [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$ such that

- (Subadditive) for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \nu$, we have
 - $c(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \max\{c(\alpha, \beta), c(\beta, \gamma)\};$ and
 - $c(\alpha,\beta) \leq \max\{c(\alpha,\gamma), c(\beta,\gamma)\}.$
- (Unbounded) for every unbounded H ⊆ ν and every i < λ, there are α < β in H such that c(α, β) > i.

The proofs of these results can be factored through the notion of *subadditive unbounded functions*. For example:

Step 1: Prove that $\Box(\nu)$ entails the existence of a function

 $c: [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$ such that

- (Subadditive) for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \nu$, we have
 - $c(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \max\{c(\alpha, \beta), c(\beta, \gamma)\};$ and
 - $c(\alpha,\beta) \leq \max\{c(\alpha,\gamma), c(\beta,\gamma)\}.$
- (Unbounded) for every unbounded H ⊆ ν and every i < λ, there are α < β in H such that c(α, β) > i.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Step 2: Prove that a subadditive unbounded function $c : [\nu]^2 \to \lambda$ witnesses the failure of $\nu \to_{hc} [\nu]^2_{\lambda < \lambda}$.

A positive result at $\mathfrak c$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三三 - のへ⊙

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that ν is a weakly compact cardinal and \mathbb{P} is the poset to add ν -many Cohen reals. Then, in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$, for all $\lambda < \nu$ we have

 $\mathfrak{c} \to_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]^2_{\lambda,2}$

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that ν is a weakly compact cardinal and \mathbb{P} is the poset to add ν -many Cohen reals. Then, in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$, for all $\lambda < \nu$ we have

$$\mathfrak{c} \to_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]^2_{\lambda,2}$$

This is sharp, since we know that

•
$$\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow_{hc} (\mathfrak{c})^2_{\omega};$$

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that ν is a weakly compact cardinal and \mathbb{P} is the poset to add ν -many Cohen reals. Then, in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$, for all $\lambda < \nu$ we have

$$\mathfrak{c} \to_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]^2_{\lambda,2}$$

This is sharp, since we know that

•
$$\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow_{hc} (\mathfrak{c})^2_{\omega};$$

• $\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0]^2_{\aleph_{0,<\aleph_0}}$

Theorem (LH '20)

Suppose that ν is a weakly compact cardinal and \mathbb{P} is the poset to add ν -many Cohen reals. Then, in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$, for all $\lambda < \nu$ we have

 $\mathfrak{c} \to_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]^2_{\lambda,2}$

This is sharp, since we know that

•
$$\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow_{hc} (\mathfrak{c})^2_{\omega};$$

• $\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0]^2_{\aleph_0, < \aleph_0}$

Corollary (LH '20)

The following are equiconsistent over ZFC:

1 There exists a weakly compact cardinal.

2
$$\mathfrak{c} \to_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]^2_{\lambda,2}$$
.

What about statements of the form $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^k_{\lambda}$ for k > 2?

What about statements of the form $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^k_{\lambda}$ for k > 2? We would need to isolate the/a correct definition(s) of "highly connected *k*-uniform hypergraph".

What about statements of the form $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^k_{\lambda}$ for k > 2? We would need to isolate the/a correct definition(s) of "highly connected *k*-uniform hypergraph". One approach is via the existence of paths between vertices; even here, there are different, non-equivalent definitions.



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

What about statements of the form $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^k_{\lambda}$ for k > 2? We would need to isolate the/a correct definition(s) of "highly connected *k*-uniform hypergraph". One approach is via the existence of paths between vertices; even here, there are different, non-equivalent definitions. Using any of these path-based definitions, we can prove, for any k > 2:

Theorem (LH '20)

- After adding a weakly compact number of Cohen reals, for all λ < c, we have c →_{hc} [c]^k_{λ,k}.
- 2 In ZFC, we have $\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]_{\lambda,k-1}^k$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

What about statements of the form $\nu \rightarrow_{hc} (\mu)^k_{\lambda}$ for k > 2? We would need to isolate the/a correct definition(s) of "highly connected *k*-uniform hypergraph". One approach is via the existence of paths between vertices; even here, there are different, non-equivalent definitions. Using any of these path-based definitions, we can prove, for any k > 2:

Theorem (LH '20)

- After adding a weakly compact number of Cohen reals, for all λ < c, we have c →_{hc} [c]^k_{λ,k}.
- 2 In ZFC, we have $\mathfrak{c} \not\rightarrow_{hc} [\mathfrak{c}]_{\lambda,k-1}^k$.

Remaining speculations

But there are other definitions of connectedness of k-uniform hypergraphs that arise from more homological considerations, and things seem less clear if these definitions are used.

Remaining speculations

But there are other definitions of connectedness of k-uniform hypergraphs that arise from more homological considerations, and things seem less clear if these definitions are used.

Also, to construct consistent counterexamples, we would want to develop the theory of "subadditive unbounded functions" $f: [\nu]^k \to \lambda$.

References

Jeffrey Bergfalk, Michael Hrušák, and Saharon Shelah, *Ramsey theory for highly connected monochromatic subsets*, Acta Math. Hungar. (2020), To appear.

Jeffrey Bergfalk, *Ramsey theory for monochromatically well-connected subsets*, Fund. Math. **249** (2020), no. 1, 95–103.

Chris Lambie-Hanson, A note on highly connected and well-connected Ramsey theory, (2020), Submitted. https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.10812

All artwork by Sol LeWitt

Thank you!

