

Forcing with σ -ideals

Ashutosh Kumar
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
IIT Kanpur

Set Theory RIMS 2020

Forcing with ideals

We say that \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} are **forcing isomorphic** (and write $\mathbb{P} \cong \mathbb{Q}$) iff their boolean completions are isomorphic.

If \mathcal{I} is an ideal on X , **forcing with \mathcal{I}** refers to either \mathcal{I}^+ ordered by inclusion modulo \mathcal{I} or its separative quotient $\mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. Note that every forcing is isomorphic to forcing with some ideal (by Sikorski extension theorem).

Theorem (Solovay, 1971)

Forcing with a σ -ideal can be isomorphic to an atomless measure algebra.

Fremlin asked if it could be isomorphic to random forcing.

Theorem (Gitik-Shelah, 1989)

Forcing with a σ -ideal cannot be isomorphic to any of the following:

Cohen, Random, Sacks, Hechler, Laver, Mathias, Miller.

Generalizations?

Problem (Shelah, 1999)

Show that forcing with a σ -ideal cannot be isomorphic to a Suslin proper (or just ccc) forcing which is generated by the name of one real.

Generic ultrapowers

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal on X . For $Y \subseteq X$, $Y/\mathcal{I} = \{Z \subseteq X : Y \Delta Z \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Put $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic over V . Working in $V[G]$, define the following.

1. ${}^X V \cap V = \{f \in V : f \text{ is a function with domain } X\}$.
2. For $f, g \in {}^X V \cap V$, $f \sim_G g$ iff $\{x : f(x) = g(x)\}/\mathcal{I} \in G$ and let $[f]_G$ denote the equivalence class.
3. $({}^X V \cap V)/G = \{[f]_G : f \in {}^X V \cap V\}$
4. $([f]_G, [g]_G) \in E$ iff $\{x \in X : f(x) \in g(x)\}/\mathcal{I} \in G$.

The structure $(({}^X V \cap V)/G, E)$ is the **G -ultrapower** of V .

Precipitous ideals

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal on X and $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. We say that \mathcal{I} is **precipitous** iff for every $\mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$ -generic filter G over V , $(({}^X V \cap V)/G, E)$ is well-founded.

Fact

- (a) \mathcal{I} is precipitous iff for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ and sequence $\langle \mathcal{A}_n : n < \omega \rangle$ of maximal antichains in \mathcal{I}^+ below A satisfying $(\forall Z \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1})(\exists Y \in \mathcal{A}_n)(Z \subseteq Y)$, there exists $\langle Y_n : n < \omega \rangle$ such that each $Y_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\bigcap \{Y_n : n < \omega\} \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) If \mathbb{P} is proper, then \mathcal{I} is precipitous.

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a precipitous and G is $\mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$ -generic over V . Let M be the transitive collapse of the G -ultrapower of V and $\pi : (({}^X V \cap V)/G, E) \cong (M, \in)$ the corresponding isomorphism. Define $j : V \rightarrow M$ by $j(y) = \pi([c_y])$ where $c_y : X \rightarrow \{y\}$. It is easy to check that j is an elementary embedding. j is called the **generic ultrapower embedding** associated with G .

Critical point

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal on X . We say that \mathcal{I} is *exactly κ -complete* iff for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$, $\text{add}(\mathcal{I}) = \kappa$.

Exercise

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal on X . Then there is a partition $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^+$ of X such that for each $Y \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a regular uncountable κ such that $\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright Y = \{A \subseteq X : A \cap Y \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an exactly κ -complete ideal on X .

Fact

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a precipitous σ -ideal on X , G is $\mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$ -generic over V and $j : V \rightarrow M$ is the associated generic ultrapower embedding. Let $\kappa = \max(\{\text{add}(\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright Y) : Y/\mathcal{I} \in G\})$ (It exists by previous exercise). Then $j(\kappa) > \kappa$ and $j \upharpoonright \kappa$ is the identity. We call κ the *critical point* of j .

Closure of ultrapowers

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal on X and $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. We say that \mathcal{I} has the **disjointing property** iff for every antichain $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, there exists $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $a = f(a)/\mathcal{I}$ and $\langle f(a) : a \in \mathcal{A} \rangle$ has pairwise disjoint sets. Note that if \mathbb{P} has the ccc (equivalently, \mathcal{I} is ω_1 -saturated), then \mathcal{I} has the disjointing property.

Fact

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a σ -ideal on X that has the disjointing property. Then \mathcal{I} is precipitous. Let G be $\mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$ -generic over V and $j : V \rightarrow M$, the associated generic ultrapower embedding with critical point κ . Then ${}^\kappa M \cap V[G] \subseteq M$.

Hechler forcing

Let \mathbb{H} be the Hechler forcing: $p \in \mathbb{H}$ iff $p = (\sigma_p, f_p)$ where $\sigma_p \in \omega^{<\omega}$, $f_p \in \omega^\omega$ is strictly increasing and $\sigma_p \subseteq f_p$. For $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$, $p \leq q$ iff $\sigma_q \subseteq \sigma_p$ and $(\forall n < \omega)(f_q(n) \leq f_p(n))$. Note that \mathbb{H} is σ -centered.

Theorem (Gitik-Shelah, 1993)

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a σ -ideal on X and $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. Then \mathbb{H} cannot be isomorphic to \mathbb{P} .

Proof. Suppose not. By restricting \mathcal{I} to some $Y \in \mathcal{I}^+$, we can assume that \mathcal{I} is exactly κ -complete. Since \mathbb{H} is ccc, \mathcal{I} is an ω_1 -saturated ideal on X . So κ is weakly inaccessible (Ulam matrix). Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic over V and $j : V \rightarrow M$, the associated generic ultrapower embedding with critical point κ . Note that ${}^\kappa M \cap V[G] \subseteq M$. Brendle, Judah and Shelah showed that $V^{\mathbb{H}} \models \mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$. So $V[G]$ and therefore M thinks that there is an unbounded family of size ω_1 . By elementarity of j , for some $Y = \{y_i : i < \omega_1\} \subseteq \omega^\omega$, $V \models Y$ is an unbounded family. Since M contains a dominating real over V , $M \models j(Y) = Y$ is bounded. Hence $V \models Y$ is bounded: Contradiction. ☹️

Eventually different real forcing

Let \mathbb{E} be the eventually different real forcing defined as follows.

- (1) $p \in \mathbb{E}$ iff $p = (\sigma_p, F_p)$ where $\sigma_p \in \omega^{<\omega}$ and $F_p \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is finite.
- (2) $p \leq q$ iff $\sigma_q \subseteq \sigma_p$, $F_q \subseteq F_p$ and
 $(\forall n \in \text{dom}(\sigma_p) \setminus \text{dom}(\sigma_q))(\forall x \in F_q)(\sigma_p(n) \neq x(n))$.

Fact (Brendle, 1995)

$V^{\mathbb{E}} \models \text{non}(\text{Meager}) = \omega_1$. In fact, \mathbb{E} adds a Lusin set of size continuum.

Exercise

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a σ -ideal on X and $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. Then \mathbb{E} cannot be isomorphic to \mathbb{P} .

Product of Cohen and random

Let Random_θ (resp. Cohen_θ) denote the forcing for adding θ random (resp. Cohen) reals. Random_θ is the measure algebra on the product measure space $[0, 1]^\theta$ (with Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$) and Cohen_θ is the forcing for adding a function in ${}^\omega 2$ using finite approximations.

Fact (Solovay, 1971)

Suppose κ is measurable with a witnessing normal prime ideal \mathcal{I} and $\lambda = 2^\kappa$. Let \mathcal{J} be the ideal generated by \mathcal{I} in V^{Random_κ} . Then
 $V^{\text{Random}_\kappa} \models \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\mathcal{J} \cong \text{Random}_\lambda$.

Fact (Kunen)

Suppose κ is measurable with a witnessing normal prime ideal \mathcal{I} and $\lambda = 2^\kappa$. Let \mathcal{J} be the ideal generated by \mathcal{I} in V^{Cohen_κ} . Then
 $V^{\text{Cohen}_\kappa} \models \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\mathcal{J} \cong \text{Cohen}_\lambda$.

Product of Cohen and random

Gitik and Shelah showed that forcing with a σ -ideal cannot be isomorphic to $\text{Random} \times \text{Cohen}$. Fremlin asked if it could be isomorphic to $\text{Random}_{\theta_1} \times \text{Cohen}_{\theta_2}$ for some $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$.

Theorem (Kumar-Shelah, 2017)

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a σ -ideal on X and $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$. Let θ_1, θ_2 be positive cardinals. Then $\text{Random}_{\theta_1} \times \text{Cohen}_{\theta_2}$ cannot be isomorphic to \mathbb{P} .

Proof. Suppose not. By restricting \mathcal{I} , we can assume $\text{add}(\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright A) = \kappa$ for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$. Note that since \mathbb{P} is ccc, κ is weakly inaccessible. Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic over V and let $j : V \rightarrow M \subseteq V[G]$ be the associated generic ultrapower embedding with critical point κ . The following is well known: $V^{\text{Cohen}_1} \models \text{cov}(\text{Null}) = \omega_1$. So $V[G]$ and therefore M (as ${}^\kappa M \cap V[G] \subseteq M$) thinks that $\text{cov}(\text{Null}) = \omega_1$. Hence also $V \models \text{cov}(\text{Null}) = \omega_1$. Let $\bar{A} = \langle A_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a sequence of null G_δ -sets witnessing this in V . Note that $j(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}$. By elementarity of j , $M \models \bigcup \{A_i : i < \omega_1\} = \mathbb{R}$. But this is impossible as $V[G]$ and therefore M contains a random real over V which cannot belong to any of the A_i 's. ☹️

Lusin's theorem

Let μ^* denote Lebesgue outer measure in \mathbb{R}^n and μ be its restriction to Lebesgue measurable sets. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\text{env}(X)$ be a G_δ -envelope of X . This means that $X \subseteq \text{env}(X)$ and every compact subset of $\text{env}(X) \setminus X$ is Lebesgue null.

Theorem (Lusin, 1934)

For every $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $X' \subseteq X$ such that $\mu^(X') = \mu^*(X \setminus X') = \mu^*(X)$. Here μ^* denotes the n -dimensional Lebesgue outer measure.*

Proof. It suffices to show the following. Whenever $\mu^*(X) > 0$, there exists $Y \subseteq X$ such that $\mu^*(Y) > 0$ and $\mu^*(X) = \mu^*(X \setminus Y)$. For then we can take X' to be the (countable) union of a maximal family of such Y 's with pairwise disjoint envelopes. Suppose this fails for some X . By thinning out X , we can assume that for some regular κ , $\text{add}(\text{Null} \upharpoonright A) = \kappa$ for every non-null $A \subseteq X$. Put $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)/\text{Null}$.

Lusin's theorem

Note that $\mathbb{P} \cong \text{Random}$ via the map $A/\text{Null} \mapsto \text{env}(A)$. The main point here is the following: If $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, then $E = \text{env}(A_1) \cap \text{env}(A_2)$ is null. Since if $\mu(E) > 0$, then letting $Y = A_1 \cap E$, we have $\mu^*(Y) = \mu(E) > 0$ and $\mu^*(X \setminus Y) = \mu^*(X)$ which is impossible.









Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic over V and $j : V \rightarrow M \subseteq V[G]$ the associated generic ultrapower embedding with critical point κ . Note that κ is weakly inaccessible and M is closed under κ -sequences in $V[G]$. Fix $\langle B_i : i < \kappa \rangle$ such that B_i 's are increasing null sets with union X . Suppose $j(\langle B_i : i < \kappa \rangle) = \langle B'_i : i < j(\kappa) \rangle$. Then for each $i < \kappa$, $B'_i = j(B_i) \supseteq B_i$. Now $M \models B'_\kappa$ is null. Hence $V[G] \models X \subseteq B'_\kappa$ is null. But $V[G]$ is a random real extension of V and X is non-null in V : Contradiction. ☕

Baby transversal

Lemma (Baby transversal)

Suppose $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ is a partition of $X \subseteq [0, 1]$ into finite sets. Then there exists $Y \subseteq X$ such that $\mu^(Y) = \mu^*(X)$ and $|Y \cap X_\alpha| = 1$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

References

-  J. Brendle, Combinatorial properties of classical forcing notions, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 73 (1995), 143-170
-  J. Brendle, H. Judah and S. Shelah, Combinatorial properties of Hechler forcing, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 58 (1992), 185-199
-  M. Gitik and S. Shelah, Forcing with ideals and simple forcing notions, *Israel J. Math.* 68 (1989), 129-160
-  M. Gitik and S. Shelah, More on simple forcing notions and forcings with ideals, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 59 (1993), 219-238
-  M. Gitik and S. Shelah, More on real-valued measurable cardinals and forcing with ideals, *Israel J. Math* 124 (2001), 221-242
-  A. Kumar and S. Shelah, A transversal of full outer measure, *Adv. in Math.*, Vol. 321 (2017), 475-485
-  N. Lusin, Sur la decomposition des ensembles, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 198 (1934), 1671-1674
-  R. Solovay, Real-valued measurable cardinals, *Axiomatic set theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. XIII, Part I, UCLA 1967, 397-428